1		
1	JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 9017 E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com	
3	DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 11715	
4	E-mail: dsniegocki@hkm.com REX M. MARTINEZ, ESQ.	
5	Nevada Bar No. 15277	
6	E-mail: rmartinez@hkm.com HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS LLP	
7	1785 East Sahara, Suite 300	
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Tel: (702) 805-8340	
9	Fax: (702) 805-8340	
	E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10		
11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
13	JOEN MELENDEZ, an Individual,	
14		CASE NO.:
15	Plaintiff,	
16	VS.	COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND
	MASTERCORP COMMERCIAL	
17	SERVICES, LLC, a foreign company;	
18	DOES I -X; ROE CORPORATIONS I -X.	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	The Plaintiff Joen R. Melendez ("Plain	ntiff" or "Ms. Melendez") by and through her
22	attorneys, Jenny L. Foley, Ph.D., Esq, Dana Sniegocki, Esq. and Rex Martinez, Esq., of HKM	
23	Employment Attorneys LLP, hereby complains	s and alleges as follows:
24	JURISD	ICTION
25	1. This is an action for damages	brought by Plaintiff for unlawful workplace
26	discrimination based on sex under Title VII of	the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII") and
27	for retaliation under Federal Law, 42 U.S.C. §	2000e-3 and State Law, NRS 613.340.
28	2. This Court has primary jurisdic	tion over claims set forth herein pursuant to 28

Page 1 of 10

1	U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. §1343(a) (4) (civil rights action) and 42 U.S.C		
2	\$2000e-5(f)(3) (unlawful discrimination and retaliation in employment). Additionally, this		
3	Court has supplemental jurisdiction over any state law claims pled herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C.		
4	§ 1367.		
5	3.	All material allegations contained in this Complaint are believed to have	
6	occurred in Clark County, Nevada. Therefore, venue properly lies in the southern division of		
7	the United States Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2).		
8		EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY	
9	4.	On or about July 10, 202, Plaintiff initiated the process of filing a Charge of	
10	Discrimination against her former employer, the Defendant named in this action, with the		
11	Nevada Equal Rights Commission ("NERC") wherein she alleged discrimination based on sex		
12	and on retaliation (Charge # 487-2019-01734).		
13	5.	On or about February 19, 2021, Plaintiff received her Notice of Right to Sue	
14	from the EEOC.		
15	6.	This action is timely filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f).	
16	7.	Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative remedy on all claims pled hereunder	
17	prior to filing this action with this Court.		
18		GENERAL ALLEGATIONS	
19	8.	Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as though	
20	fully set forth	herein.	
21	9.	Plaintiff is a United States citizen and current resident of Las Vegas, Nevada. ¹	
22	10.	Defendant MASTERCORP COMMERCIAL SERVICES, LLC ("Defendant"	
23	or "MasterCo	orp") is a foreign company and an employer in the State of Nevada.	
24	11.	At all times relevant to this matter, Defendant had well over 100 employees, and	
25	is therefore subject to the provisions of Title VII.		
26	12.	Plaintiff first began her employment with Defendant on January 30, 2017 as an	
27			
28	¹ During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, she had the name Joen Rivera.		

Page **2** of **10**

- 24. Plaintiff explained to Ms. Herrera that there were at least two occasions where Mr. Montano sent her texts that were sexual in nature and that she was fearing retaliation and losing her job.
- 25. Ms. Herrera met Plaintiff at a Starbucks and further discussed the only going harassment as well as Plaintiff showing Ms. Herrera the text messages.
- 26. Plaintiff stated that she wanted to be transferred away from Mr. Montano, but Ms. Herrera said to wait until she spoke with someone in Human Resources.
- 27. On February 21, 2019, at Mr. Herrera's request, Plaintiff sent her an email detailing the harassment.
- 28. On February 25, 2019, Sally Martinez ("Ms. Martinez"), a Manager in Human Resources, requested a meeting with Plaintiff, which occurred the following day.
- 29. During the meeting, Plaintiff explained the ongoing harassment and requested to be transferred to another property to get away from Mr. Montano, despite her not wanting to leave Wyndham because it was considered to be the best property to work at.
- 30. Furthermore, Plaintiff allowed Ms. Martinez to go through the text messages and take pictures.
- 31. After some time, Ms. Martinez stopped taking pictures of the messages, stating that she had seen enough, would investigate the matter with fellow HR colleagues for assistance, and would inform Plaintiff of a resolution.
- 32. On or about March 5, 2019, Plaintiff met with Ms. Martinez, who informed her that Defendant has a zero-tolerance policy for sexual harassment and that Mr. Montano had been placed on a last and final notice.
- 33. Further, Ms. Martinez instructed Plaintiff that if Mr. Montano made any other comments that he would be terminated, and if Mr. Montano retaliated against Plaintiff, she should bring another complaint.
- 34. However, Ms. Martinez then denied Plaintiff's transfer to another property, explaining that Plaintiff was too good at her job and that she was being "groomed" for

1 promotion.

- 35. Plaintiff told Ms. Martinez that she appreciated the chance for a promotion, but that she would rather transfer to avoid the hostile work environment.
- 36. Ms. Martinez replied that Plaintiff was too good of a worker, and so she was needed at the flagship property; thus, denying Plaintiff's request for transfer.
- 37. Upon information and belief, after the meeting with Ms. Martinez, Plaintiff returned to the office where Mr. Montano was before he was called into the corporate office.
- 38. Upon information and belief, about an hour later, Mr. Montano returned and began removing all of his personal belongings.
- 39. After taking some paid time off and spending time working for a property in Arizona, Plaintiff returned to work at the Wyndham on April 25, 2019, but Mr. Montano was not in the office.
- 40. The following day, Mr. Montano returned to work and at approximately 9:30 A.M., he entered Plaintiff's office and shut the door.
- 41. Mr. Montano updated Plaintiff on what had occurred over the past few weeks and then asked for her professional opinion on a work matter.
- 42. Mr. Montano asked Plaintiff's thoughts on whether Defendant should charge Wyndham for deep cleans but just have the housekeepers clean the units as general checkouts to increase turn around.
- 43. Plaintiff conveyed her opinion that she would never do that as it would be unethical, constitute fraud, and lead to a breach of contract.
- 44. Mr. Montano then asked if he should call more housekeepers for overtime as Mr. Montano believed that there were too many checkouts.
- 45. Plaintiff said that he should wait because she was instructed by Ms. Herrera to have less overtime.
- 46. Mr. Montano became angry and yelled at Plaintiff, "Well then, I'm sending you to clean the rooms!"
 - 47. Plaintiff responded that she was fine cleaning rooms if that is what needed to be

1 done.

- 48. Mr. Montano responded, "Well then go and work at another place. This is not the place for you."
- 49. Plaintiff attempted to explain that she felt very uncomfortable with how he was speaking to her, and that they should take the matter to HR.
- 50. Mr. Montano refused and instead called Mr. Herrera on speaker phone before Plaintiff could contact HR.
- 51. Plaintiff explained the situation, but not entirely as Mr. Montano was hovering over her and listening to every word, so she could not be completely honest.
- 52. After Ms. Herrera told them to wait and that she would call them back, Mr. Montano apologized to Plaintiff.
- 53. Mr. Montano also tried to touch and hug Plaintiff, but she was still very uncomfortable and so avoided Mr. Montano's attempt to touch her.
- 54. Later that same day, Ms. Herrera and Ms. Martinez came into the office and met with Plaintiff.
- 55. Through tears, Plaintiff explained what happened and that she did not feel as if it was a healthy to work in such an environment.
- 56. Ms. Herrera then replied that feelings do not belong at work and that Plaintiff is perhaps the one creating the hostile work environment.
- 57. Ms. Herrera then called three other employees, two dispatchers and one Housekeeping Manager, to ask about Plaintiff's job performance.
- 58. After speaking with the other employees, as well as Mr. Montano, Ms. Herrera called Plaintiff back into the office, where Ms. Martinez also remained.
- 59. Ms. Herrera told Plaintiff that according to the dispatchers, Plaintiff had not been as helpful as before.
- 60. Plaintiff responded that Mr. Montano had recently removed her from operations, which were part of her regular duties, and so now she was only left in charge of office duties and hiring.

(Retaliation under Federal Law, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3 and State Law, NRS 613.340)

27

28

84. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations in the preceding paragraphs as

Page 9 of 10

a. A jury trial on all appropriate claims;

Case 2:21-cv-00967-JCM-VCF Document 1 Filed 05/20/21 Page 10 of 10

1	moreover, to enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff by:
2	b. Awarding Plaintiff an amount sufficient to fully compensate her (including tax
3	consequences) for all economic losses of any kind, and otherwise make her
4	whole in accordance with Title VI;
5	c. General damages;
6	d. Special damages;
7	e. An award of compensatory and punitive damages to be determined at trial;
8	f. Pre- and post-judgment interest;
9	g. An award of attorney's fees and costs; and
10	h. Any other relief the court deems just and proper.
11	Dated this 20th Day of May, 2021.
12	HKM EMPLOYMENT ATTORNEYS, LLP
13	/n/ I I. F.·I
14	/s/ Jenny L. Foley JENNY L. FOLEY, Ph.D., Esq.
15	Nevada Bar No. 9017 DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ.
16	Nevada Bar No. 11715
17	E-mail: dsniegocki@hkm.com REX M. MARTINEZ, ESQ.
18	Nevada Bar No. 15277 E-mail: rmartinez@hkm.com
19	1785 East Sahara, Suite 300
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Tel: (702) 805-8340
21	Fax: (702) 805-8340 E-mail: jfoley@hkm.com
22	Attorney for Plaintiff
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	